

COZEN O'CONNOR

33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4640
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 260-9000
Facsimile: (612) 260-9080
Thomas G. Wallrich
Heather L. Marx

*Attorneys for Defendants Richard A. Miller
Trust dated 5/3/2000; Richard A. Miller,
individually and in his capacity as Trustee
of the Richard A. Miller Trust dated 5/3/2000;
and Gayla E. Miller, individually and in her
capacity as Trustee of the Richard A. Miller
Trust dated 5/3/2000*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

vs.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES, LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Case No. 09-11893 (SMB)

IRVING R. PICARD, Trustee for the
Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff
Investment Securities, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Adv. Pro. No. 10-04664 (SMB)

RICHARD A. MILLER TRUST DATED 5/3/2000; and RICHARD A. MILLER, individually and in his capacity as Trustee of the Richard A. Miller Trust dated 5/3/2000; and GAYLA E. MILLER, individually and in her capacity as Trustee of the Richard A. Miller Trust dated 5/3/2000,

Defendants.

JOINT AMENDED ANSWER

Richard A. Miller Trust Dated 5/3/2000, Richard A. Miller, and Gayla E. Miller (collectively, the “Defendants”) as and for their Joint Amended Answer to the Complaint filed in the above-captioned matter by Plaintiff Irving H. Picard, as Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC (“Trustee”), state and allege as follows. Defendants deny each and every matter and thing in the Trustee’s Complaint unless expressly admitted herein.

1. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Trustee’s Complaint, Defendants admit that the documents of record regarding the referenced criminal allegations, plea, and sentencing speak for themselves. In regard to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Trustee’s Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

2. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Trustee’s Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

3. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Trustee’s Complaint, Defendants believe no response is required to such allegations, however if a response is deemed

necessary, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraphs 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Trustee's Complaint.

5. As to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants admit only that the documents of record regarding the referenced proceedings speak for themselves. In regard to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraphs 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

6. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

7. Paragraphs 18 and 19 of the Trustee's Complaint set forth legal conclusions to which no response is necessary.

8. As to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

9. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

10. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 of the Trustee's Complaint and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

11. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

12. As to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 42 and 43 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants believe no response is required to such allegations, however if a response is deemed necessary, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

13. As to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 44, 45, 46, and 47 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants admit only that the documents of record regarding the referenced proceedings speak for themselves.

**COUNT ONE – FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
11 USC §§ 548(A)(1)(A), 550(A), AND 551**

14. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

15. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraphs 49 and 50 of the Trustee's Complaint.

16. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 51, 52, and 53 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

**COUNT TWO – FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
11 USC §§ 548(A)(1)(B), 550(A), AND 551**

17. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

18. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraphs 55 and 56 of the Trustee's Complaint.

19. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, and 62 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

**COUNT THREE – FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
NEW YORK DEBTOR AND CREDITOR LAW §§ 276, 278, AND/OR 279, AND 11 USC
§§ 544(B), 550(A), AND 551**

20. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 63 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

21. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 64 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

22. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 65 of the Trustee's Complaint.

23. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 66 and 67 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

**COUNT FOUR – FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
NEW YORK DEBTOR AND CREDITOR LAW §§ 273 AND 278 AND/OR 279, AND 11
USC §§ 544(B), 550(A), AND 551**

24. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 68 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

25. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 69 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

26. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 70 of the Trustee's Complaint.

27. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 71, 72, and 73 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

**COUNT FIVE – FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
NEW YORK DEBTOR AND CREDITOR LAW §§ 274, 278, AND/OR 279, AND 11 USC
§§ 544(B), 550(A), AND 551**

28. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 74 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

29. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 75 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

30. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 76 of the Trustee's Complaint.

31. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 77, 78, and 79 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

**COUNT SIX – FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
NEW YORK DEBTOR AND CREDITOR LAW §§ 275, 278, AND/OR 279, AND 11 USC
§§ 544(B), 550(A), AND 551**

32. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 80 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

33. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 81 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

34. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 82 of the Trustee's Complaint.

35. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 83, 84, and 85 of the Trustee's Complaint and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

**COUNT SEVEN -- RECOVERY OF SUBSEQUENT TRANSFER -
NEW YORK DEBTOR AND CREDITOR LAW §§ 278 AND/OR 279, AND
11 USC §§ 544, 548, 550(A), AND 551**

36. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 86 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

37. As to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 87, 88, 89, 90, and 91 of the Trustee's Complaint, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore deny such allegations and put the Trustee to his strict burden of proof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

38. As and for Defendants' first affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

39. As and for Defendants' second affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee has failed to plead his claims related to fraud with the requisite particularity.

40. As and for Defendants' third affirmative defense, Defendants state that all transfers from the Debtor were received in good faith and in exchange for reasonably equivalent value.

41. As and for Defendants' fourth affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee's claims are barred to the extent Defendants received all transfers in good faith and gave value.

42. As and for Defendants' fifth affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee's claims are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.

43. As and for Defendants' sixth affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee's claims are barred by the equitable doctrines of waiver, setoff, estoppel, unclean hands, *in pari delicto*, and/or laches.

44. As and for Defendants' seventh affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee's claims are barred due to the Debtor's solvency at all times relevant.

45. As and for Defendants' eighth affirmative defense, Defendants state that all transfers received from the Debtor were in the nature of settlement payments exempt from avoidance under section 546(e) of the Bankruptcy Code.

46. As and for Defendants' ninth affirmative defense, Defendants state that the Trustee lacks standing under 15 U.S.C. §78fff-2(c)(3).

47. As and for Defendants' tenth affirmative defense, in order to preserve the defenses pending further discovery, Defendants incorporate by reference all affirmative defenses required to be asserted under Rule 8(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

48. Defendants reserve the right to assert other and further affirmative defenses as this case progresses and discovery discloses the grounds for such additional defenses to the Trustee's claims.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request this Court grant them the following relief:

1. Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and claims therein against Defendants with prejudice; and

36. Award Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements incurred herein as allowed by statute; and

37. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: November 13, 2014

COZEN O'CONNOR

/s/ Thomas G. Wallrich

Thomas G. Wallrich (MN #213354) *pro hac vice*

Heather L. Marx (MN #321163), *pro hac vice*

Joel D. Nessel (MN #30475X), *pro hac vice*

33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4640

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: 612-260-9000

Fax: 612-260-9084

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS